AWARD SCORING – Outstanding Event Award:

Does the nomination make sense? Does the nominated group fit the award?

9 – 10 points  The nominated group has gone above and beyond the baseline criteria for this award. This may be due to: creating impact beyond the initial targeted community; actively seeking out collaboration with other groups and offices; going beyond what was needed for the program or initiative to just be good; creating a unique event and/or marketing plan; and/or other items or points that stand out to you.

6 – 8 points  The award description fits both the group that has been nominated and the reason(s) why they have been nominated. The nominated group’s actions accurately reflect the spirit of the award. The nominee has met the baseline criteria for this award:

• Nomination clearly explains and describes the event which has been planned and implemented by the nominee – should be as specific as possible.
• Nomination clearly articulates the efforts taken by the nominee to create and run a successful event – this includes thinking through the goals of the event and its potential impact on the student group and MIT community as well as planning for event implementation (timeline, budget, funding, etc.).
• Nomination discusses how the nominee marketed and outreached to the MIT community.

3 – 5 points  The award description kind of fits the group that has been nominated. This may be due to lack of information within the nominator’s description, an example(s) that do not reflect the spirit of the award, and/or other reasons

2 or below  The award description does not fit the group or their actions. They should not receive the award.