**AWARD SCORING – Golden Beaver Individual Award:**

**Does the nomination make sense? Does the nominated individual fit the award?**

9 – 10 points The nominated individual has gone above and beyond the baseline criteria for this award. This may be due to: creating impact beyond the initial targeted community; more actively seeking out partnerships; going beyond what is needed for the student group to just be good and/or successful; examples of mentoring others within the student group; multiple challenges addressed; and/or other items or points that stand out to you.

6 – 8 points The award description fits both the individual that has been nominated and the reason(s) why they have been nominated. The nominated individual’s actions accurately reflect the spirit of the award. The nominee has met the baseline criteria for this award:
- Change created within student organization, living group, dorm, or team is clearly articulated and the subsequent impact on campus life is stated.
- Nomination clearly articulates the individual’s role and impact on the student group as well as discussing/mentioning the individual’s dedication to the group.
- Example of challenge(s) are stated and discussed – emphasis given to how the individual overcame said challenge(s).

3 – 5 points The award description kind of fits the individual that has been nominated. This may be due to lack of information within the nominator’s description, an example(s) that do not reflect the spirit of the award, and/or other reasons.

2 or below The award description does not fit the individual or their actions. They should not receive the award.